

## OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR STATE OF MISSOURI JEFFERSON CITY 65101

MATT BLUNT

STATE CAPITOL ROOM 216 (573) 751-3222

March 7, 2007

Mr. Jeff Davis Chairman Public Service Commission Governor Office Building 200 Madison Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

## Dear Chairman Davis:

I am writing you in order to convey some serious concerns I have about Ameren and its representatives in our state. Specifically, I am concerned about Steve Sullivan, general counsel of Ameren, who has behaved in a manner that is sufficiently troubling to me that I feel compelled to notify you and your fellow commissioners.

To be more exact, I am concerned that Mr. Sullivan has repeatedly acted with questionable integrity with his interactions with Attorney General Jay Nixon. Please allow me to clarify how this is so.

First, in a meeting last September, Mr. Sullivan told me that he was approached by Attorney General Nixon and/or his campaign; Mr. Sullivan was directed to send contributions to certain state legislative committees that would be funneled to Mr. Nixon's campaign accounts. Mr. Sullivan made clear to me that this approach by Mr. Nixon took place after Mr. Nixon had accepted criminal prosecutorial discretion for the Taum Sauk case. Mr. Sullivan indicated that there was a cause and effect to Mr. Nixon's actions: the call for money came after the criminal inquiry began. Mr. Sullivan and his colleagues have repeatedly told me that that Ameren continues to feel tremendous pressure from Mr. Nixon due to their fear of his prosecutorial discretion. Ameren has indicated that they are unable to settle any aspect of the case and move forward due to Mr. Nixon's inaction and perceived threats. However, Mr. Sullivan continues to be unwilling to address this issue with the Attorney General or anyone else. Repeatedly, I have counseled him of his rights and that he needs to be assertive against such pressure. He has declined.

Mr. Jeff Davis March 7, 2007 Page 2

Second and most relevant to you and your colleagues, I have concerns regarding the rate case filed by Ameren and currently before you. After the Taum Sauk disaster, Governor Blunt made explicit that Ameren should not expect ratepayers to pay for the damage and that the expenses caused by the disaster. Ameren agreed. However, incredibly, Ameren turned around and included in its rate case some \$10 million charge that was related to the Taum Sauk disaster. They later removed this amount, but questions persist. Why was it included? Do they really understand their responsibility? Does an apparent lack of responsiveness to aspects of the recent power outages extend to aspects of Taum Sauk and the rate case? It is my understanding that Mr. Steve Sullivan is a lead attorney for the rate case. This should trouble you.

Finally, too many Missourians have been unsatisfied with Ameren's responses to the storms over the past year or so. That Mr. Sullivan and Ameren have been slow to come up with plans that adequately address the needs of their ratepayers and customers is a serious concern.

At this point, Mr. Chairman, I am writing this letter after careful thought because of the ongoing rate case that is in front of you. It is important for Missourians to know what has happened and how Ameren and its top people operate. I strongly urge you to call Mr. Sullivan before you and address his actions. He may have plausible explanations; if so, Missourians deserve to hear them. Without explanations, however, I am naturally suspect of any and all Ameren statements or submissions.

Sincerely yours,

Edward R. Martin, Jr.

Ed Mutin

Chief of Staff